

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,344	09/05/2003		Patrick T. Rose	13610-0003	7806
27268	7590	09/12/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER & DANIELS LLP				CHAN, KO HUNG	
		AN STREET		ART UNIT	D. DED MIR (DED
SUITE 2700	SUITE 2700				PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPO	DLIS, IN	46204	3632		

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/656,344	ROSE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Korie H. Chan	3632		

	Korie H. Chan	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date			
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply original reply original three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ne of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	•	` ,	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in began appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	•	Korie H. Chan	_
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 3632

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the added limitation of the arcuate retaining slot having effective length longer than that of first arcuate arm to permit increase movement of arm within slot requires further consideration and/or search.